

REMARKS

I. Status of the Claims:

Claim 66 has been rejected because of informalities.

Claims 8, 27 and 46 have been rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph as indefinite.

Claims 1-6, 9-12, 16-18, 20-25, 28-31, 35-37, 39-44, 47-50, 54-56, and 58-72, have been rejected under 35 USC 102 (e) as anticipated by Ghosh et al of record.

Claims 7, 8, 13, 26, 27, 32, 45, 46, and 51 have been rejected as unpatentable over Ghosh et al of record.

Applicant responds to the indicated rejections, as follows:

II. Claim Objection:

Claim 66 has been canceled.

III. Rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph:

Applicant has amended claims 8 to depend on claim 6. Claim 27 has been amended to depend on claim 25 and claim 46 has been amended to depend upon claim 44.

The amendments of claims 8, 27 and 46 are believed to clarify their dependencies and overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph

IV. Rejection under 35 USC 102(e):

Independent Claims 1, 20, and 39 have been rejected on Ghosh et al, of record.

Ghosh et al describes a system and method for managing licensing information based on (i) a source of licensing requirements information, (ii) a license database of license information and (iii) a processor operable to modify license information in the database and retrieve information from the database according to criteria. Ghosh tracks external training of professional employees as described in Paragraphs 008, 0012 and 0071

In contrast, applicant discloses a method, a system and a program product for entities in managing, distributing, tracking and training of users, typically licensed professional based on internally generated training information. The training of users or professional users is described in applicant's specification at page 20, line 5 continuing to page 22, line 6 and shown in Figure 6.

Claims 1, 20, and 39 have been amended to recite "providing the personalized business information and associated internally generated professional training to the user".

Applicant submits Claim 1, 20, 39 and 54 define subject matter not disclosed or suggested in Ghosh. Ghosh relies on external information for recording training whereas applicant generates internal information for training purposes. The rejection of claims 1, 20, 39 and 54 based on Ghosh is without support in the cited art. Withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of Claims 1, 20, 39 and 54 are requested

Claims 2, 21 and 40 have been amended to further describe claims 1, 20 and 39

V. Rejection under 35 USC 103 (a)

Claims 7, 8, 13, 26, 27, 45, 46 and 51 depend from associated independent claims and are patentable on the same basis as the independent claim from which they depend.

Conclusion

In view of the (i) the cancellation of claim 66, (ii) the amendment of claims 8, 27 and 46 to clarify their dependencies, and (iii) the amendment of independent claims 1, 20, 1, 20, and 39 to define subject not disclosed or suggested the cited reference, applicant submits the Examiner's rejections to the claims are believed to be overcome. The Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and issuance of a Notice of Allowance for all the claims remaining in the application. Should the Examiner feel further communication would facilitate prosecution, he is urged to call the undersigned at the phone number provided below.

Additional Fees

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any insufficient fees or credit any overpayment associated with this application to Deposit Account No. 50-4545 (5338-002-US01).

Respectfully Submitted,

Stephanie Savage (54,432)

Dated: August 10, 2009

for: Joseph C. Redmond, Jr.
Reg. No. 18,753

Hanify & King, P.C.
1033 20th Street, N.W., Suite 400
Washington, DC 20007
(202) 403-2100 Tel.
(202) 429-4380 Fax